

1 **DIRECT TESTIMONY OF**
2 **DWIGHT M. HOLLIFIELD, ASLA**
3 **ON BEHALF OF**
4 **SOUTH CAROLINA ELECTRIC & GAS COMPANY**
5 **DOCKET NO. 2014-86-E**
6

RECEIVED
2014 APR 15 PM 3:35
SC PUBLIC SERVICE
COMMISSION

7 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.**

8 A. My name is Dwight M. Hollifield. My business address is 10101 Claude
9 Freeman Drive, Suite 100-W, Charlotte, NC 28262.
10

11 **Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND IN WHAT CAPACITY?**

12 A. I am employed by UC Synergetic, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Pike
13 Electric Corporation, as Director in the System Planning & Siting Division
14 ("SPS"). Pike Electric Corporation is headquartered in Mt. Airy, North Carolina.
15

16 **Q. PLEASE BRIEFLY DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL BACKGROUND,**
17 **PROFESSIONAL ASSOCIATIONS, AND BUSINESS EXPERIENCE.**

18 I received an Associate of Science degree in Horticulture from Catawba
19 Valley College in 1967. I was employed by Duke Power Company (now
20 known as Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC) and Duke Engineering & Services from
21 July 1967 until May 2002 when Framatome ANP purchased Duke Engineering &
22 Services. While at Duke Power, I led the development of a comprehensive

RECEIVED

APR 16 2014

1 transmission line siting process that SPS now executes when siting lines for
2 various electrical utility clients, including South Carolina Electric & Gas
3 Company ("SCE&G"). I was directly involved in the expansion of Duke Power's
4 electrical transmission system, particularly as it related to siting and site
5 development planning for substations and transmission lines. As Manager of
6 Duke Power's Transmission Siting and Landscape Architecture Department, my
7 responsibilities included siting transmission lines, which involved conducting
8 studies to assess the environmental, cultural resource, land use, and aesthetic
9 effects of those transmission line projects. I had responsibility for obtaining all
10 necessary permits and licenses for new transmission lines and substations.

11 In 1995, my department moved from Duke Power to Duke Engineering &
12 Services, and we began siting transmission lines for various electric utility clients,
13 primarily in North Carolina, South Carolina and Georgia. We continued to site all
14 new transmission lines for Duke Power on a contractual basis.

15 Following the acquisition of Duke Engineering & Services by Framatome
16 ANP in 2002, I served as General Manager of Framatome's Facilities Planning &
17 Siting Department, and siting transmission lines and electrical substations
18 continued to be our primary service offering. Framatome's Facilities Planning &
19 Siting Department continued to site lines for Duke Power and for many other
20 clients, including SCE&G.

21 In 2005, two business associates and I acquired my department from
22 Framatome ANP and organized it as a limited liability company named Facilities

1 Planning & Siting, LLC. I served as President of Facilities Planning & Siting,
2 LLC until June 30, 2009, when we were acquired by Pike Electric Corporation.
3 While operating as a limited liability company and now as a wholly owned
4 subsidiary of Pike Electric Corporation, our primary service offering was, and
5 continues to be, the siting, permitting and licensing of electrical transmission lines
6 and substations.

7 UC Synergetic, LLC—with offices in Charlotte, North Carolina; Atlanta,
8 Georgia; Boston, Massachusetts; Columbia, South Carolina; Denver, Colorado;
9 Dallas, Texas; Exton, Pennsylvania; Hoover, Alabama; Land O Lakes, Florida;
10 Louisville, Kentucky; Raleigh, North Carolina; and Roanoke, Virginia—provides
11 electrical transmission and distribution systems planning, siting, permitting,
12 engineering and project management services to electrical utility clients
13 throughout the United States and in some foreign countries.

14 From 1990 until 2002, I represented Duke Energy on the Edison Electric
15 Institute's Siting and Environmental Planning Task Force. In 1991, I was
16 appointed to and served on the North Carolina Utilities Commission Rulemaking
17 Committee that drafted Rule R8-62, which is used by the Commission to
18 administer the provisions of North Carolina's Transmission Line Siting Act.

19 Since 1987, I have participated in and managed the successful siting,
20 permitting and licensing of more than 180 transmission lines, virtually all of which
21 are located in North and South Carolina.
22

1 **Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?**

2 A. The purpose of my testimony is to discuss the transmission line siting
3 methodology that SCE&G, in collaboration with SPS, utilized when choosing the
4 route for the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines (“Lines”) and
5 associated facilities. My company collected, mapped, and analyzed extensive
6 information regarding environmental, land use, cultural resource, and visual
7 effects of the proposed lines.

8
9 **Q. DO YOU HAVE ANY DOCUMENTS THAT SUPPORT OR ILLUSTRATE**
10 **YOUR TESTIMONY?**

11 A. Yes. As SCE&G’s siting and project permitting consultant, I am the author
12 of the Transmission Line Siting and Environmental Report for the Lyles-Saluda
13 River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines and Associated Facilities (“Transmission Line
14 Siting and Environmental Report”), dated January 2014 and attached to SCE&G’s
15 Application in this docket as Exhibit A and to this testimony as Exhibit No. __
16 (DMH-1). This report details the need for the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray
17 230 kV Lines and associated facilities, the process by which SCE&G selected the
18 routes for the lines, and the research and studies conducted regarding the
19 environmental, land use, cultural resource, and visual effects of the lines and the
20 associated facilities.

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE ROUTE FOR THE PROPOSED LYLES-**
2 **SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230 kV LINES.**

3 A. The Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines' route originates in
4 Richland County at SCE&G's Lyles Substation that is located in Columbia on the
5 east side of the Broad River, approximately one-third mile south of U.S. Highway
6 176. The route runs in westerly, northwesterly, and northerly directions for
7 approximately 10 miles to SCE&G's Lake Murray Substation near the Saluda
8 River Dam and Powerhouse in Lexington County. Along the way from the Lyles
9 Substation to the Lake Murray Substation, the two Lines will be connected to the
10 new Saluda River 230/115 kV Substation.

11
12 **Q. WILL THE PROPOSED LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230**
13 **kV LINES AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES HAVE ANY SIGNIFICANT**
14 **SHORT- OR LONG-TERM ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS?**

15 A. No. As explained in more detail in the Transmission Line Siting and
16 Environmental Report, the construction and operation of the Lyles-Saluda River-
17 Lake Murray 230 kV Lines will not have any significant short- or long-term
18 impacts on the environment.

1 **Q. WHAT WAS THE CONCLUSION OF THE STUDIES THAT WERE**
2 **CONDUCTED FOR THE LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230**
3 **kV LINES AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES TO DETERMINE EFFECTS**
4 **TO RARE, THREATENED AND ENDANGERED SPECIES?**

5 A. Palmetto Environmental Consulting, Inc. ("PEC") conducted a protected
6 species literature and records search in November 2013 to determine the presence
7 of known occurrences of federally and state-listed animal and plant species on or
8 within one-mile of the right-of-way within which the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake
9 Murray 230 kV Lines will be located. This search revealed one active bald eagle
10 nest near the Saluda River, approximately 0.5 mile east of the Lines' route, and
11 another bald eagle nest just south of the Lines' route near the Broad River. The
12 Broad River nest was last observed in 1977; its present status is unknown. PEC
13 did not locate this nest during its search for protected species in the project
14 corridor. PEC did, however, locate a bald eagle nest not recorded in the South
15 Carolina Department of Natural Resources' database near the Saluda River
16 approximately 500 feet west of the Lines' route in the vicinity of the Lake Murray
17 Substation.

18 Bald eagles are no longer protected under the Endangered Species Act, but
19 they remain protected under the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act and the
20 Migratory Bird Treaty Act. The United States Fish and Wildlife Service
21 ("USFWS") has published National Bald Eagle Protection Guidelines (May 2007)

1 which recommend the following regarding construction activities in the vicinity of
2 active bald eagle nests:

3 In general, activities should be kept as far away from nest trees as
4 possible; loud and disruptive activities should be conducted when
5 eagles are not nesting; and activity between the nest and the nearest
6 foraging area should be minimized.

7
8 Accordingly, SCE&G will not engage in construction activities within 660
9 feet, the construction exclusion buffer distance recommended by the USFWS, of
10 the bald eagle nests from October 1 to May 31, the period spanning the entire bald
11 eagle nesting cycle in the southeastern United States according to the USFWS.

12 Seven other state-listed species—nestronia, winter grape-fern, sandhills
13 milkvetch, redlip shiner, blacknose dace, spatulate seedbox, and red standing-
14 cypress—have been documented within one mile of the project area. However,
15 none of the documented occurrences are known to be within the right-of-way,
16 which PEC verified. PEC also found no other state-and/or federal-listed
17 threatened and endangered species in the right-of-way.

18 In summary, the proposed Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines
19 and associated facilities are unlikely to have any adverse effects on rare,
20 threatened or endangered species.

1 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE IMPACTS TO WETLANDS OR STREAMS, IF**
2 **ANY, THAT WILL RESULT FROM CONSTRUCTION AND OPERATION**
3 **OF THE LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230 kV LINES AND**
4 **ASSOCIATED FACILITIES.**

5 **A.** The construction and operation of the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230
6 kV Lines and associated facilities will not have any significant short- or long-term
7 impacts to wetlands or streams. SCE&G will utilize established wetland
8 protection guidelines when operating near or within wetland areas, and the
9 function of wetlands crossed by the Lines will not be changed.

10 If SCE&G is successful in acquiring the additional 2.7 acres of new right-
11 of-way needed to construct the Lines in such a way as to allow the removal of an
12 existing structure on the linear island between the Broad River and Columbia
13 Canal without replacing it, it will be necessary to clear trees from approximately
14 0.05 acres of wetlands on the Lyles Substation property.

15 The Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines will cross certain
16 streams along its route. Any existing low-growing vegetation will be left intact to
17 the maximum practical extent in stream buffer zones, and root mats in any
18 specified buffer zones will not be disturbed. SCE&G will install erosion control
19 measures wherever they may be required to prevent translocation of sediment
20 from construction sites to wetlands or streams. Based on my direct experience in
21 planning erosion control measures for more than 100 transmission line
22 construction projects, there will be no adverse impacts to wetlands or streams

1 resulting from construction of the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines
2 in the existing, cleared right-of-way that has well established access already in
3 place.
4

5 **Q. WHAT WAS THE CONCLUSION OF THE CULTURAL RESOURCE**
6 **INVESTIGATION THAT WAS CONDUCTED ALONG THE ROUTE OF**
7 **THE LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230 kV LINES AND**
8 **ASSOCIATED FACILITIES?**

9 A. In August 2013, SCE&G engaged Brockington and Associates, Inc.
10 (“Brockington”) to conduct background research to identify all previously
11 recorded archaeological and historic resources within 1.25 miles of the Lyles-
12 Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines’ route and to conduct a “windshield
13 reconnaissance survey” to identify any previously unrecorded architectural
14 resources within 1.25 miles of the route that appear eligible for listing on the
15 National Register of Historic Places (“NRHP”). In 2011, Brockington previously
16 conducted background research and a windshield reconnaissance survey on a two-
17 mile segment of the Lines’ route in conjunction with the VCS2-St. George 230 kV
18 Lines No. 1 and No. 2. Along this two-mile segment the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake
19 Murray 230 kV Lines and the VCS2-St. George 230 kV Lines No. 1 and No. 2
20 will share right-of-way. The results of Brockington’s 2011 investigation along
21 the segment were combined with Brockington’s 2013 investigation along the
22 remaining sections of the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines.

1 After completing the background research, SCE&G engaged Brockington
2 to conduct a Phase I archaeological investigation in the right-of-way within which
3 the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray Lines are to be located. Based on this
4 investigation, Brockington concluded that there are no historic archaeological
5 properties within the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines' right-of-way
6 that require further cultural resources management actions and recommended to
7 the South Carolina State Historic Preservation Office that clearance be issued for
8 the project.

9 In short, no adverse impacts to cultural resources are anticipated.
10 Moreover, a "viewshed analysis" concluded that the no NRHP listed resources,
11 NRHP eligible resources and NRHP potentially eligible resources will be
12 adversely affected by views of the proposed Lines.

13
14 **Q. WHAT WILL BE THE VISUAL EFFECTS OF THE PROPOSED LYLES-**
15 **SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230 kV LINES AND ASSOCIATED**
16 **FACILITIES?**

17 **A. The Lines will have very low overall visual effects for six primary reasons:**

- 18 • The Lines will largely be built within an existing SCE&G right-of-way
19 and will not pose visual modifications resulting from right-of-way
20 clearing.
- 21 • The Lines will replace existing 115 kV lines that are located on lattice
22 steel towers.

- The Lines will share existing SCE&G right-of-way with multiple other existing SCE&G transmission lines from the Lyles Substation to a point just west of the Saluda River Substation, which is a distance of approximately 4 miles.
- The eastern portion of the Lines will reside in areas where visual conditions are highly modified by residential, commercial, industrial, and road infrastructure development.
- Significant portions of the middle and western segments of the Lines will traverse undeveloped areas where existing trees that reside on each side of the right-of-way provide significant screening.
- As the Lines pass through the vicinity of the Saluda River Dam and Powerhouse as they near the Lake Murray Substation, the scenic character of the area is defined by existing electrical generation and transmission facilities.

Q. IS THE IMPACT OF THE PROPOSED LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY 230 kV LINES AND ASSOCIATED FACILITIES UPON THE ENVIRONMENT JUSTIFIED CONSIDERING THE STATE OF AVAILABLE TECHNOLOGY AND THE NATURE AND ECONOMICS OF THE VARIOUS ALTERNATIVES?

A. Yes. Because SCE&G chose to build the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines entirely within existing SCE&G rights-of-way (with the exception

1 of the small portion of new right of way SCE&G seeks to acquire near its Lyles
2 Substation), the resulting environmental, land use, cultural resource, and aesthetic
3 effects are minimized. Moreover, as Witness Young states in his testimony,
4 SCE&G considered several alternatives to the proposed lines and associated
5 facilities and determined that the proposed facilities are the superior solutions to
6 provide its customers with long-term electrical system reliability.

7
8 **Q. IN YOUR PROFESSIONAL JUDGMENT, WAS SCE&G'S SELECTION**
9 **OF THE ROUTE FOR THE LYLES-SALUDA RIVER-LAKE MURRAY**
10 **230 kV LINES PROPER?**

11 A. Yes. In my professional judgment, SCE&G's selection of the chosen route
12 for the Lyles-Saluda River-Lake Murray 230 kV Lines was proper.

13
14 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR DIRECT TESTIMONY?**

15 A. Yes.